

REMARKS

This amendment is offered in response to the Office Action of December 29, 2006.

At the outset, the Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the numerous helpful telephone conversations in this matter.

It is respectfully submitted that this amendment will require neither a new search nor substantial reconsideration.

The Office Action rejected Claims 27-34 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over the Shanok reference (U.S. Patent No. 3,675,355).

The Office Action appears to be taking the unique position that the printing of the perspective figures the Shanok reference itself rather than the disclosure of the Shanok reference is prior art against the presently pending claims (see numbered paragraph 3, line 3 of the Office Action).

As discussed with the Examiner and as previously discussed in the prosecution of this application, a simple perspective picture is quite different from the presently claimed invention. A simple perspective does not appear to protrude from the a reconfigured planar image. In this respect, Claim 28 has been amended to recite that the protrusion is "toward the viewer" which more clearly distinguishes over a simple perspective. Additionally, Claim 28 has been amended to recite that the placing of the reconfigured planar image with respect to the view is done at a "third predetermined non-zero angle based on the first and second predetermined non-zero angles" which is not disclosed in the Shanok reference. Moreover, Claim 28 has been amended to recite "said image does not appear to the viewer to protrude from said reconfigured planar image when viewed from angles different from said third predetermined non-zero angle" which

clearly distinguishes over the Shanok reference (or, perhaps more accurately, the perspective images in the printing of the Shanok reference).

It is therefore respectfully submitted that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) has been overcome.

For all of the reasons above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims are in immediate condition for allowance. The Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the rejections of the claims, to enter the amendment, to allow the claims, and to pass this application to early issue.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Ronald E. Brown", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Ronald E. Brown
Registration No. 32,200

Day Pitney LLP
7 Times Square
New York, New York 10036-7311
212-297-5800